Article

Racemization Barriers of 1,1′**-Binaphthyl and 1,1**′**-Binaphthalene-2,2**′**-diol: A DFT Study**

Luděk Meca,[†] David Řeha,^{‡,§} and Zdeněk Havlas*,§,^{||}

Institute of Chemical Technology, Technicka´ *5, 166 28 Prague 6, Czech Republic, J. Heyrovsky*´ *Institute of Physical Chemistry, Dolejs*ˇ*kova 3, 182 23 Prague 8, Czech Republic, Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Flemingovo na*´*m. 2, 166 10 Prague 6, Czech Republic, and Center for Complex Molecular Systems and Biomolecules, 182 23 Prague 8, Czech Republic*

havlas@uochb.cas.cz

Received March 14, 2003

Density functional theory has been applied to the study of various pathways and transition states for the configurational inversion of 1,1′-binaphthyl (**1**) and 1,1′-binaphthalene-2,2′-diol (**2**). The preferred pathway is found to be anti with centrosymmetric transition state. Whereas the reaction path of **1** goes downhill from transition to ground state, in the case of **2** it contains one unexpected local minimum. Very satisfactory agreement with available experimental values of activation Gibbs energies is achieved.

Introduction

1,1′-Binaphthyl (**1**) and its derivatives exhibit hindered rotation about internuclear bonds, and their enantiomers can be isolated at room temperature. Synthetically useful 1,1′-binaphthalene-2,2′-diol (**2**; BINOL) can be obtained from simple derivatives of **1**.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n6 & 4 & 3 \\
7 & 8 & 11 \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\quad\nR = H \qquad (1)
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n7 & 11 & 12 \\
7 & 21 & 13 \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\quad\nR = OH \qquad (2)
$$

Enantiomerically pure BINOL is easily obtainable by resolution¹ of low-cost racemate and is one of the most used chiral auxiliaries for asymmetric synthesis.² Rotational barriers of **1** and **2** have been measured3 (Table 1). The racemization barriers of 1,1′-binaphthyl deriva-

FIGURE 1. Possible racemization pathways of 1,1′-binaphthyl (**1**).

tives have been computed using molecular mechanics⁴ and semiempirical methods.5

Racemization of binaphthyl is possible through a passage of 2,8′- and 2′,8-substituents (anti route) or 2,2′ and 8,8'-substituents (syn route). Cooke and Harris^{3b} suggested two kinds of anti pathways for racemization

[†] Institute of Chemical Technology.

[‡] J.Heyrovsky´ Institute of Physical Chemistry.

[§] Center for Complex Molecular Systems and Biomolecules.

[|] Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry.

^{(1) (}a) Periasamy, M.; Venkatraman, L.; Sivakumar, S.; Sampathkumar, N.; Ramanathan, C. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 7643. (b) Cai, D.; Hughes, D. L.; Verhoeven, T. R.; Reider, P. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 7991. (c) Hu, Q.-S.; Vitharana, D. R.; Pu, L. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1995**, *6*, 2123.

^{(2) (}a) Ojima, I. *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2000. (b) Rosini, C.; Franzini, L.; Raffaelli, A.; Salvadori, P. *Synthesis* **1992**, 503. (c) Noyori, R. *Asymmetric Catalysis*
in Organic Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 1994.
(3) (a) Colter, A. K.; Clemens, L. M. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1964**, *68*, 651.

⁽b) Cooke, A. S.; Harris, M. M. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, 2365. (c) Smrcˇina, M. Study of racemization barriers and asymmetric transformations of selected enantiomers. PhD Dissertation (in Czech), Charles University, Prague, 1991. (d) Kyba, E. P.; Gokel, G. W.; de Jong, F.; Koga, K.; Sousa, L. R.; Siegel, M. G.; Kaplan, L.; Sogah, D. Y.; Cram, D. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 4173.

^{(4) (}a) Dashevsky, V. G.; Kitaygorodsky, A. I. *Theor. Eksp. Khim.*
1967, *3*, 43. (b) Gamba, A.; Rusconi, E.; Simonetta, M. *Tetrahedron*
1970, *26*, 871. (c) Gustav, K.; Sühnel, J.; Wild, U. P. *Chem. Phys.* **1978**, *31*, 59. (d) Carter, R. E.; Liljefors, T. *Tetrahedron* **1976**, *32*, 2915. (e) Liljefors, T.; Carter, R. E. *Tetrahedron* **1978**, *34*, 1611. (f) Leister, D.; Kao, J. *J. Mol. Struct.* **1988**, *168*, 105. (g) Tsuzuki, S.; Tanabe, K.; Nagawa, Y.; Nakanishi, H. *J. Mol. Struct.* **1990**, *216*, 279.

⁽⁵⁾ Kranz, M.; Clark, T.; Schleyer, P. v. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 3317.

TABLE 2. Experimental and Calculated C-**C Bond Lengths (pm) of 1**

ັ			
bond	X -ray ⁶	X -ray ⁷	calcd
$C(1) - C(1')$	147.5	148.6	149.8
$C(1)-C(2)$	136.5	137.7	138.3
$C(2)-C(3)$	140.4	140.9	141.5
$C(3)-C(4)$	136.0	135.8	137.4
$C(4)-C(10)$	141.3	142.1	142.0
$C(5)-C(10)$	141.4	142.1	142.2
$C(5)-C(6)$	134.6	137.0	137.6
$C(6)-C(7)$	140.4	139.1	141.6
$C(7)-C(8)$	135.9	136.1	137.6
$C(8)-C(9)$	141.3	142.6	142.3
$C(9)-C(10)$	141.6	141.2	143.5
$C(1)-C(9)$	143.3	143.5	143.5

of substituted binaphthyl^{-"}mesoid" (*anti-C_i* in Figure 1) and "racemoid" (*anti-C*₂ in Figure 1). Carter and Liljefors^{4d} added syn analogues of these routes (*syn-C*₂ and *syn-C*_s in Figure 1). They investigated all four racemization pathways of **1** and showed *anti-Ci* to be the preferred route with one *C*_r-symmetric local minimum. Tsuzuki et al.4g found this *anti-Ci* pathway to have no local minimum but C_f -symmetric saddle point by MM2^{\prime} and the same result we report for **1** and **2** in this paper. Kranz et al.5 excluded *anti-Ci* route from consideration and investigated *syn-C*² and *anti-C*² pathways of **1** by MNDO, AM1 and PM3. They preferred slightly the *anti-C*₂ over *syn*- C_2 route in conclusion. To the best of our knowledge racemization of **2** was not computationally investigated before.

Results and Discussion

1,1′**-Binaphthyl (1). Ground-State Conformation.** Calculated bond lengths of **1** are compared to those from X-ray analyses (Table 2). Deviations from experimental values do not exceed 2 pm. X-ray structures of racemate and pure enantiomer of 1 were determined having $C(2)$ -C(1)-C(1')-C(2') dihedral angles $68^{\circ 6.7}$ and 103° , respectively. Our calculated *C*₂-symmetric structure has an energy minimum at 75°.

Transition Structures. Four types of racemization pathways, which were taken in consideration, are schematically drawn in Figure 1. However, we were able to locate only two transition structures—*anti-C_i* and *syn-C2* (Figure 2). Table 3 shows relative energies and selected geometrical parameters of these transition states. Our *anti-Ci*-TS energy (96 kJ/mol) agrees almost exactly with the experimental values, whereas semiempirical AM1 and PM3 underestimate⁸ (84 and 75 kJ/mol) and ab initio Hartree-Fock (HF) overestimates⁸ (127 kJ/mol) the value of the racemization barrier. IRC calculation of *anti-Ci*-TS (Figure 3) shows simple downhill path to the ground state. During racemization starting from one GS enantiomer, atoms H(2) and H(8′) initially pass each other with closest contact of 155 pm, then their nonbonded contact reaches 179 pm in the TS, and finally atoms H(2′) and H(8) pass each other to give the opposite GS enantiomer.

Binaphthalene-2,2′**-diol (2).** *Ground state conformation.* Calculated bond lengths of 2 are compared to those from X-ray analyses in Table 4. Deviations from the experimental values do not exceed 3 pm. Experimental $C(2)-C(1)-C(1')-C(2')$ dihedral angles are 88 $^{\circ}$ for racemate and 77° for pure enantiomer of 2.9 Our calculated *C*2 symmetric structure has an energy minimum at 95°.

Transition structures. Again, all four types of racemization pathways (Figure 1) were investigated. However, we were able to find only three transition structures *anti-C_i*, *syn-C₂* and *anti-C₂* (Figure 4). Their relative energies and important structural parameters are shown in Table 5. Like **1**, the lowest in energy is the *anti-Ci*-TS (158 kJ/mol agrees excellently with the experimental values; AM1 and PM3 underestimate⁸ – 129 and 144 kJ/ mol - and HF highly overestimates $8 - 210$ kJ/mol - the height of the rotational barrier). This centrosymmetric transition structure has both hydroxy groups in syn conformation (!), having short $H(2)-C(8')$ nonbonded

⁽⁶⁾ Kerr, K. A.; Robertson, J. M. *J. Chem. Soc. B* **1969**, 1146.

⁽⁷⁾ Kress, R. B.; Duesler, E. N.; Etter, M. C.; Paul, I. C.; Curtin, D. Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 7709.

⁽⁸⁾ Meca, L.; Řeha, D.; Havlas, Z. Unpublished results. AM1, PM3 and HF computed geometries are similar to the reported B3LYP geometries. For HF calculations 6-31G(d, p) basis sets were employed.

⁽⁹⁾ Toda, F.; Tanaka, K.; Miyamoto, H.; Koshima, H.; Miyahara, I.; Hirotsu, K. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1997**, 1877.

FIGURE 3. B3LYP 6-31G racemization path of **1**.

TABLE 4. Experimental and Calculated C-**C and C**-**^O Bond Lengths (pm) of 2**

bond	X -ray rac 9	X -ray enant ⁹	calcd
$C(1) - C(1')$	148.9	150.1	149.8
$C(1)-C(2)$	138.8	137.5	139.2
$C(2)-C(3)$	141.5	141.7	141.9
$C(3)-C(4)$	135.3	135.4	137.1
$C(4)-C(10)$	141.0	140.7	142.2
$C(5)-C(10)$	141.9	141.9	141.9
$C(5)-C(6)$	135.4	134.9	137.7
$C(6)-C(7)$	139.9	141.1	141.5
$C(7)-C(8)$	136.3	135.4	137.8
$C(8)-C(9)$	141.5	141.7	142.2
$C(9)-C(10)$	142.9	142.5	143.4
$C(1)-C(9)$	141.9	142.7	143.7
$C(2)-O(1)$	136.8	136.1	135.9

distance (209 pm). IRC calculation startin from the *anti-Ci*-TS (Figure 5) exhibits one local minimum (M) between TS and GS, which differs from GS in one $H(2)-O(2)$ - $C(2)-C(1)$ dihedral angle and is by 18 kJ/mol above the GS. The shortest nonbonded contact between H(8′) and O(2) during TS \rightarrow M transformation is 190 pm (234 pm for TS itself).

Conclusions

We have shown that density functional computations are excellent for calculating the racemization barriers of binaphthyls. The preferred racemization route is *anti-Ci* for both investigated compounds. Nevertheless, *syn-C2* racemization path might be important for other 2,2′ disubstituted binaphthyls (*syn-C2* is only 17 kJ/mol over preferred *anti*-Ci for BINOL **2**). Racemization of both

FIGURE 4. Computed transition structures of **2**.

investigated binaphthyl compounds does not affect the internuclear C(1)-C(1′) bond length. Energy increase of TS is mainly caused by nonplanar geometries of aromatic rings.

TABLE 5. Relative Energies and Geometrical Parameters of Stationary Points of 2

			distance (pm)			
structure	rel energy (kJ/mol)	angle $C(2) - C(1) - C(1') - C(2')$ (deg)	$C(1) - C(1')$	$H(2) - H(8')$	$H(2)-H(2')$	$H(8)-H(8')$
GS		94.8	150	327	336	375
anti- C_r TS	158.3	180	149	218		dist $C(8)-H(2')$ 209
$syn-CzTS$	175.3	25.6	150		255	202
anti- C_2 TS	249.4	172.7	152			dis. $O(1) - H(8')$ 201

FIGURE 5. B3LYP 6-31G racemization path of **2**.

Experimental Section

Racemization barrier of BINOL was determined as follows:

Solution of 25 mg (*S*)-BINOL in 500 mg diphenyl ether in a test tube was placed to an oil bath at (220 ± 1) °C. Samples were analyzed at Chiralpak AD column (250 \times 4.6 mm, solvent 5% 2-propanol in hexane, flow 0.5 mL/ min, detection UV 254 nm) to give following results (ret. times of enantiomers: $t_R=29$ min, $t_S=31$ min):

from which $k=1.93 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (calculated by the first-

order rate equation: $k = \sum t_i^{-2} \sum t_i \ln(e_e/e_e)$ with the e_e at the time $t=0$ and e_e at the respectively and ee₀ at the time $t=0$ and ee_i at t_i, respectively), and $\Delta G_{\text{rac}}^{\text{+}}=(158\pm1) \text{ kJ/mol}.$
The density functions

The density functional calculations of B3LYP quality with basis sets 6-31G(d,p) were carried out using the GAUSSIAN 9810 program suite. Transition structure optimizations have been done using the STQN procedure without any geometry constraints. Second derivative (frequency) calculations proved the nature of stationary points (exactly 1 negative eigenvalue). The reaction path was determined by IRC calculations (at somewhat smaller 6-31G basis sets) for selected structures.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. Jaroslav Pecka for helpful discussions about IRC computations and Dr. Štěpán Vyskočil for providing a liquid chromatograph with a chiral column for measuring BINOL racemization parameters. This project, LN00A0032 (Center for Complex Molecular Systems and Biomolecules), was supported by the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic.

Supporting Information Available: Cartesian coordinates and electronic energies of all stationary points. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO034344U

(10) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Stratmann, R. E.; Burant, J. C.; Dapprich, S.; Millam, J. M.; Daniels, A. D.; Kudin, K. N.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Cammi, R.; Mennucci, B.; Pomelli, C.; Adamo, C.; Clifford, S.; Ochterski, J.; Petersson, G. A.; Ayala, P. Y.; Cui, Q.; Morokuma, K.; Malick, K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Ortiz, J. V.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Gonzalez, C.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Head-Gordon, M.; Replogle, E. S.; and Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 98 (Revision A.6)*; Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1998.